

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/699,530	10/30/2000	David Tolpin	25310-1C	7292
21186	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			TRAN, QUOC A	
P.O. BOX 25 MINNEAPO	938 DLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2176	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/699,530	TOLPIN, DAVID	
, arisory riodon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quoc A. Tran	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper re-	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriat fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	,,	
(c) \( \square\) they are not deemed to place the application i		erially reducing or s	simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	,	, ,	. , ,
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s): 101 & 112 Rejection.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ req place the application in condition for allow 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ance because: See Continuation	Sheet.	
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		SANJIV SHAH	
	PF	RIMARY EXAMINE	R

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed 05/19/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments on pages 7-9, that '397 did not teach or suggested the limitation of claims 1-6, and 9-16. In particular the verb invert, which is recommended by the Applicant as, "Webster defines the verb invert as to reverse in position, order, or relationship" See, Merriam-Webster On-Line Dictionary at http://www.m-w.com/, keyword "invert." Conversely, Webster's defines the verb revert as to come or go back." See, Merriam-Webster On-Line Dictionary at http://www.m-w.com/, keyword "revert." The distinction between inversion and reversion is a significant one, and one in which the Examiner has missed as evidenced by the Examiner's attempt to use these different terms in a synonymous manner. The examiner respectfully disagrees, As taught by '305 - US005111397A -filed 12/11/1989, at col. 7, lines 45-51, wherein inserting the footnote from one position (last good line) to a new position (new line) is equivalence to reverse the position, order, or condition of: invert the subject and predicate of a sentence is known as invert. Therefore claims 1-6 and 9-16, remain rejected. Since the independent claims 1, and 9, are remains rejected leads to the rejection of their dependency claims 7-8 as well. In response to applicant's arguments on pages 9-10, that '515 did not teach or suggested the limitation of claims 17-20. In particular the verb "assemble" as to bring together." See, Merriam-Webster On- Line Dictionary at http://www.m-w.com/, keyword "assemble." Contrast that with the verb "invert" which means to reverse in position, order, or relationship." See, Merriam-Webster On-Line Dictionary at http://www.m-w.com/, keyword "invert". The examiner respectfully disagrees, As taught by '515 - US 4503515 -filed 05/17/1982, at col. 9, lines 1-15, wherein inserting the footnote from one position (bottom of each page) or new position (the end of the document) is equivalence to reverse the position, order, or condition of: invert the subject and predicate of a sentence is known as invert. Therefore claims 17-20, remain rejected.